

Functionality Assessment of Household Tap Connection under National Jal Jeevan Mission - 2022



District Report: Dhalai, Tripura Survey Duration: March to April 2022

Contents

Abbı	reviations	3
Glos	sary	4
1.	Factsheet	6
2.	Context	8
2.1.	District snapshot: Dhalai	8
2.2.	FHTC Assessment Objectives	9
2.3.	Assessment Methodology	9
2.4.	Sample Size	9
2.5.	Sampling Methodology	10
2.6.	Methodology for Water Quantity Measurement at Households	11
2.7.	Methodology for Water Quality Measurement	11
2.8.	Project implementation	12
2.9.	Sample coverage	13
2.10	. Sampled village and household profile	13
3.	Findings	14
3.1.	Functionality status of FHTC at household level	14
3.2.	Quantity, Regularity, and Quality of Water	15
3.3.	Average water supply days in a week	18
3.4.	Household utilization of water for drinking and other activities	
3.5.	Status at HH level (Nh=906)	
3.6.	Source sustainability at the village level	19
3.7.	Water quality monitoring and surveillance in the villages	20
3.8.	Status of JJM	20
3.9.	Perception of HHs on Outcome Indicators	21
3.10	. User satisfaction	22
4.	Annexures	23
4.	1. Summary of villages	23
4.2	2. Functionality – 55 LPCD vs regularity vs potability vs working tap connection	24
4.:	3. Villages not meeting the quality parameters	25



Abbreviations

AWC	Aanganwadi Centre
FHTC	Functional Household Tap Connection
Gol	Government of India
GP	Gram Panchayat
HF	Health Facility
HH	Household
HGJ	Har Ghar Jal
JJM	Jal Jeevan Mission
LPCD	Litres per Capita per Day
MVS	Multi-village Scheme
NJJM	National Jal Jeevan Mission
RC	Residual Chlorine
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
OHT	Over Head Tank
PSU	Primary Sampling Unit
PWS	Piped Water Supply
SVS	Single Village Scheme
VAP	Village Action Plan
VWSC	Village Water and Sanitation Committee
WQMIS	Water Quality Monitoring and Information System



Glossary

- 1. Community Group of people living in one particular area or village/habitation
- Cross-sectional research A cross-sectional study is a type of research design in which data is collected from a relatively large and diverse group of people at a single point in time
- 3. **Drinking water source** Groundwater (open well, borewell, tube well, handpump, spring, etc.)/ surface water (river, lake, pond, reservoir, etc.)/rainwater, available for drinking and domestic use
- 4. Improved sources The following sources as considered improved by the National Family Health Survey definitions: Piped water into dwelling, yard/plot with a tap, piped water connected to public stand-posts, tube well or borewell, Hand pump, dug well– protected, Spring–protected, Rainwater, Water ATM/ Community RO plant/ Community Water Purification Plant (CWPP)
- 5. **Unimproved sources** The following sources as considered unimproved by the National Family Health Survey definitions: Unprotected spring, unprotected dug well, cart with small tank / drum, Tanker/ truck, Surface water (river/ dam/ lake/ pond/ canal), and bottled water
- 6. **Functional Household Tap Connection (FHTC)** A tap connection to a rural household for providing drinking water in adequate quantity of prescribed quality on regular basis.
- 7. **Functionality of FHTC** Functionality of a tap connection is defined as having infrastructure, i.e., household tap connection providing water in adequate quantity, as presented:

Definitions	Fully-functional	Partially-functional	Non-functional
Quantity	>= 55 LPCD	> 40 lpcd - < 55 LPCD	< 40 LPCD
Regularity	12 months or daily basis	9-12 months or < daily basis	< 9 months or < daily basis
Quality	Potable	Potable	Non potable

- 8. **Quantity (in litres)** of water received by households per person per day should meet the service level of 55 LPCD
- 9. **Functionality Assessment** An assessment of the functionality of rural household tap connections based on a sample survey
- 10. **Fully Regular –** Regularity of water is considered when a rural household receives water for 12 months on daily basis or as per schedule.
- 11. **Potability –** Potable water is water that is safe to be used as drinking water. Parameters of potable water are mentioned below:

Parameters for potable water tested in the survey		Unit	Acceptable Limit	Permissible Limit in the absence of alternative sources
i.	pH (tested on site)	-	6.5 to 8.5	No relaxation
ii.	Free residual chlorine (tested on site)	Mg/litre	0.2	1
iii.	Turbidity	NTU	1	5
iv.	Total hardness	Mg/litre	200	600
v.	Total alkalinity	Mg/litre	200	600
vi.	Chloride	Mg/litre	250	1000
vii.	Ammonia	Mg/litre	0.5	No relaxation
viii.	Phosphate	Mg/litre	0.3	1
ix.	Iron (in hotspots only)	Mg/litre	1	No relaxation
х.	Nitrate	Mg/litre	45	No relaxation
xi.	Sulphate	Mg/litre	200	400
xii.	Total dissolved solids	Mg/litre	500	2000



xiii.	Fluoride	Mg/litre	1	1.5
xiv.	Arsenic (in hotspots only)	Mg/litre	0.01	No relaxation
XV.	Bacteriological test for Total coliform			
	bacteria and E. coli or thermotolerant		Shall not be detectable in	n any 100 ml sample
	coliform bacteria			

- 12. **Sampling** Selection of a subset of individuals from within a statistical population to estimate water service delivery among the population. In the current study, households have been sampled to estimate the representation of the village and subsequently of the district as well as of the state.
- 13. Types of schemes: Following are the piped water supply schemes that were assessed
 - a. Mini-solar based piped water supply scheme in isolated/tribal hamlets
 - b. Single Village Scheme (SVS) in villages having adequate groundwater that needs treatment
 - c. Single village scheme (having adequate groundwater/ spring water/ local or surface water source of prescribed Quality)
 - Retrofitting of ongoing schemes taken up under erstwhile NRDWP for the last mile connectivity/ retrofitting of completed rural water supply schemes to make it JJM compliant
 - e. Multi-village PWS scheme with water grids/ regional water supply schemes
- 14. Village Action Plan (VAP) Plan prepared by Gram Panchayat and/ or its sub-committee, i.e., VWSC/ Paani Samiti/ User Group, etc. based on baseline survey, resource mapping and felt needs of the village community to provide FHTC to every rural household, treat the generated greywater and plan its reuse, undertake surveillance activities, etc. VAP also indicates the fund requirement and timelines for completion of work under the Mission and will be approved by the Gram Sabha. Irrespective of the source of funding, all drinking water-related works in the village are taken up based on the VAP.
- 15. **Source Sustainability** includes measures such as aquifer recharge, rainwater harvesting, increased storage capacity of water bodies, reservoirs, de-silting, etc. improve the lifespan of water supply systems
- 16. **Har Ghar Jal (HGJ)** An administrative unit wherein all HHs are provided with water supply through FHTCs is called "Har Ghar Jal".
- 17. **Public Institutions** The public institutions in the survey include Aanganwadi Centre (AWC), Health Facilities, Schools, Gram Panchayat, and government buildings.
- 18. Working tap connection A tap connection supplied water at least one day in the week, preceding of survey
- 19. **Functional Scheme –** A scheme is said to be functional if it was reported to be working for all 12 months in a year.



1. Factsheet

Table 1: District level factsheet

Indicators	Tripura	Dhalai
Functionality status of FHTC at households		
Households (HHs) which received water through FHTC at least once in last	100	100
7 days (%)	100	100
Fully functional (%)	41	47
Partially functional (%)	57	51
Non-functional (%)	3	3
Quantity of water received by households		
Adequate quantity (>55 LPCD) (%)	96	96
Partially adequate quantity (> 40 LPCD - < 55 LPCD) (%)	3	3
Inadequate quantity (<40 LPCD) (%)	1	1
Regularity of water received by households		
Fully Regular Supply (as per schedule) (%)	94	84
Partially Regular Supply (not as per schedule) (%)	4	11
Irregular Supply (less than 9 months' supply) (%)	2	5
Potable (Quality) water received by households		
Potable (%)	44	57
Non-potable (%)	56	43
Residual Chlorine (RCL) detected with in permissible limits (%)	41	53
Household level indicators		

Household level indicators		
Households receiving water supply daily-7 days a week (%)	99	97
Daily HH requirement of water being met by FHTC (%)	76	64
Households reported FHTC as a primary source of drinking water (%)	62	72
Households purifying water before drinking (%)	84	94
Households paying water service delivery charges (%)	3	0
Households having coping mechanisms during scarcity (%)	48	69
Households aware of grievance redressal mechanism for reporting	90	02
problems with FHTC (%)	09	92
Households reported incidence of water-borne diseases in the last year (%)	1	6
Households reported a reduction in time and effort in collecting water (%)	78	64
Overall user satisfaction at the household level		
Regularity (%)	83	79
Overall quality (%)	62	72



Indicators	Tripura	Dhalai
Village level indicators (based on village questionnaire)		
Schemes reported to be functional (%)	56	91
Villages with groundwater resource (%)	26	14
Villages having groundwater recharge structure ¹ (%)	2	0
Water supply and storage status in villages		
Average no. of times water is supplied in a day	1	2
Villages having OHT/ Sump for storage of water (%)	9	29
Water quality monitoring and surveillance in the villages		
Villages with Field Test Kits (%)	2	0
Villages in which bacteriological test was done in last 1 year by VWSC/ Pani Samiti (%)	5	3
Villages reported to have a mechanism for chlorination (%)	3	3
VWSC/Pani Samiti and PWS signage in villages		
Village reported having presence of VWSC/ Pani Samiti (%)	12	3
Villages in which VWSC/ Pani Samiti is responsible for Operation & Maintenance of PWS schemes (%)	1	0
Villages in which persons are trained to use Field Test Kits (%)	5	3
Villages in which signages about JJM were observed (%)	7	3
Operation and maintenance at village		
Villages levying water service delivery to households (%)	2	0
Convergence of JJM activities with other schemes in the villages (%)	3	6
Villages having skilled manpower for Operation & Maintenance of PWS schemes (%)	6	6
Community monitoring of water wastage in villages (%)	4	6

 $^{^{1}}$ Out of villages who reported to have groundwater source (N_v=5)

2. Context

Jal Jeevan Mission (JJM) was launched on the 15th of August 2019 with the objective to provide functional household tap connections (FHTCs) to all rural households.

Figure 1: Har Ghar Jal - Objective, Vision, & Outcome



In accordance with the overall objectives as specified in the Operational Guidelines for the implementation of the NJJM, Gol carried out a sample survey to assess the functionality of household tap connections. As part of this endeavour, NJJM, Gol engaged HTA Kantar Public to conduct the 'Functionality Assessment' of the household as well as public institution/ buildings such as schools, anganwadis, gram panchayat buildings, public health facilities, and wellness centers in all the rural districts for the fiscal year 2021-22.

2.1. District snapshot: Dhalai

District Dhalai of Tripura has a population of 4,19,877. The district has 8 blocks. Out of 151 villages in the district, 21 are SC dominated and 104 are ST dominated villages. The district lies in Eastern Himalayan Region and receives an annual rainfall of 2389.3mm.

Presented here are district level information collated from the DDWS-IMIS:

Figure 1: District IMIS Status & Map

IMIS status:

- 0 (0% of all) villages are Har Ghar Jal
- 151 (100% of all) villages are Non-Har ghar Jal
- SC/ST dominated district
- Non JE/AES
- Yes- History of water contamination
- 137 (91% of all) villages with PWS more than 20 FHTC





2.2. FHTC Assessment Objectives

Figure 3: Objectives of Functionality of Tap Connections



2.3. Assessment Methodology

A cross-section research design has been used for this functionality assessment study. Quantitative data were collected from villages and households across all states/UTs using the CAPI (Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing) mode. The survey includes two components, village, and household.

Figure 4: Survey Components & Respondents



2.4. Sample Size

The sample size was calculated to provide estimates with a 95% confidence interval (CI) and 5% margin of error (MoE) after incorporating the correction factor for a finite population considering the total number of geographic units having FHTCs.

- Village sample is estimated to be representative at the state level
- HH sample estimated to be representative at the district level



- Number of Har Ghar Jal (HGJ) villages were proportionately sampled at the district level
- All PWS schemes (up to 4) were covered per village. Per scheme approximately 9 (3 each from the head, middle, and tail HHs) or 18 households (6 each from head, middle, and tail HHs) were sampled to achieve the desired sample at the district level.

2.5. Sampling Methodology

As per the design, all villages having a PWS scheme with 20 or more functional household tap connections were included in the sample frame. The probability proportionate to size (PPS) method was used for village selection in each district. The steps for random selection of villages using PPS are as presented:

Figure 5: Steps for Village Sampling



The key considerations for the village and household sampling were:

Figure 2: Sampling Considerations – Village & Households



The record of all district-wise village replacements is maintained and reported as part of the annexure.

2.6. Methodology for Water Quantity Measurement at Households

Figure 7: Steps for Measuring Flowrate from Supply-tap at HHs



The flow rate of the water supply was measured using a container with gradual markings (either 5 litres or 1 litre, based on the flow of the tap) and a stopwatch/timer-watch. The process followed is as described in Figure 7.

In the case of households where the FHTC is connected directly with the storage tank, the following steps were adopted to measure the quantity:

- Assessor first asked and recorded length, breadth, and height.
- Assessor dipped a 5 feet long rod, marked the level of the water table, and calculated the volume – length x breadth x-height of water.
- Next the assessor opened the valve of the connection and allowed the water to flow inside the storage for 10 minutes.
- After 10 mins, the valve was closed, and the assessor again dipped the rod and recorded the new height of the water inside the tank. Based on this new 'height' and the CAPI calculated the changed volume.
- The difference in the volume of water in 10 minutes divided by 10 provided the flow rate of the water supply per minute.

The water flow rate was not measured for village-level public institutions.

2.7. Methodology for Water Quality Measurement

Water quality was tested for all public institutions available in the villages, including schools, anganwadis, gram panchayat buildings, public health facilities, and wellness centers, and at the selected households. Two types of quality tests were carried out – a) spot test for pH and free residual chlorine, and b) water sample was collected and transported to labs for testing against 13 quality parameters (total 15) as specified in Figure 8.



Figure 8: On-site & Laboratory Based Quality Test



JJM, with the support of the BMI Division of ICMR, enabled a new interface on the WQMIS portal for "Functionality Assessment (FA) User" to enable seamless harmonization of water sample registration, sample submission for testing, and sharing of results as per the applicable quality parameters.

2.8. Project implementation

An overview of the project implementation is as presented:



Figure 9: Broad project implementation framework



A total of 6 teams (comprising 6 supervisors, 36 assessors, and 6 water collection assistants) were recruited, trained, and deployed to complete the survey across the state of Tripura. One survey team covered approximately 2 - 3 districts. The state-wise team deployment and fieldwork dates were as presented:

Table No. 1:	State-wise team deployment and data collection start & end dates				
State		Teams deployed	Start date	End date	Total data collection days
Tripura		6 Teams	3/1/2022	4/2/2022	32 days

A four-tier quality control (QC) system was put in place. At the ground level, the data collection exercise was done using a computer-aided Personal Interview (CAPI) application which contained all logic and skip-checks inbuilt. Also, 5% of the total samples were accompanied by the supervisors. Sub-targeted QC was done by the state field managers (5%) and the central project management team (5%). Apart from this, the central research team monitored the data trend and as per requirement debriefed data collection teams to improve quality.

2.9. Sample coverage

Table No. 2:	e No. 2: Sample covered					
	Targetee	d sample		Achieved sam	ple	
District	Village	НН	Village	НН	Public Institutions	
Dhalai	35	900	35	906	47	
Tripura	283	7,128	283	7,138	140	

2.10. Sampled village and household profile

	SAMPLED VILLAGES		SAMPLED HOUSEHOLDS
•	Total no. of villages covered in the district - 35	•	Total no. of households covered in the district
•	Percentage of SC dominated villages covered		- 906
	in the district is 11% (which is slightly lower	•	Proportion of General - 7%, SC 15%, ST% 60,
	than the state average, i.e., 15%)		OBC 18% households
•	Percentage of ST dominated villages covered	•	24% of the FHTC connections are under the
	in the district is 69% (which is higher than the		name of a female member
	state average, i.e., 48%)	•	Average household size – 5
•	Higher proportion of pump operator	•	>75% positive user experience in 3/5
	interviewed at the village level		measures
•	Yes the district reported to have any historical		
	incidence of water contamination		



3. Findings

3.1. Functionality status of FHTC at household level A. Overall functionality* (in %)



Figure 3: Functionality of HH tap connection

It has been found that 100 percent of the sampled HHs (N=906) had working tap connections (i.e., received water at least once in last 7 days). Less than half (47 percent) of the HHs had fully functional tap connection (i.e., HHs receiving adequate quantity of prescribed quality of water on a regular basis).



3.2. Quantity, Regularity, and Quality of Water

Under JJM, functionality is defined as having infrastructure, i.e., household tap connection providing water in adequate quantity (55 LPCD or more) of prescribed quality on regular basis (every day or as decided by GP and/ or its sub-committee) with adequate pressure. It will also include long-term source and system sustainability. Presented here are the findings in this respect.

A. Water quantity measured as LPCD (Litres per Capita per Day)

96% HHs reported receiving adequate quantity of water

Figure 4: Quantity of water received by households



Quantity of water received across head, middle, and tail end HHs





B. Regularity of water supply to households

84% HHs receive a regular supply of water (as per agreed schedule) *Figure: Regularity of water received by households*







*Potable water has been considered basis testing of water samples through laboratory tests for physical, chemical, and bacteriological as given in Table 4 parameters (within acceptable/permissible range) and onsite testing of pH. The details of laboratory test are mentioned in the table given above in the glossary.

Table No. 3:	Village quality parameters reported within permissible range (% sample
within pe	rmissible range)

Quality Parameters (NV=35)	Water	r Samples Tested	d from Public Ins	titutes	
	Anganwadi	Health	Schools	Others	
	Centre	Facility			
pH (on-site)			100		
Turbidity		Not te	ested		
Total Hardness		Not te	ested		
Total Alkalinity	Not tested				
Chloride	Not tested				
Ammonia			100		
Iron		Not te	ested		
Nitrate		Not te	ested		
Sulphate			100		
Total Dissolved Solids			100		
Bacteriological Test		Not te	ested		
Fluoride	No history				
Arsenic		No h	istory		

Quality Parameters	No of samples tested	% Households		
pH (on-site)	906	70		
Turbidity	343	55		
Total Hardness	188	99		
Total Alkalinity	Not tes	ited		
Chloride	Not tested			
Ammonia	Not tested			
Iron	384	72		
Nitrate	Not tes	ited		
Sulphate	Not tes	ited		
Total Dissolved Solids	266	100		
Bacteriological Test (Presence/Absence)	174 100			
Fluoride	No history			
Arsenic	No hist	ory		

 Table No. 4: Household water quality parameters reported within permissible range (in % sample within permissible range)

Safeguarding piped water supply for unforeseen bacteriological contamination-Presence of Residual Chlorine (RC)

The Residual Chlorine (RC) in the Dhalai district was found in 53% samples. The remaining samples had RC outside range. It may be mentioned that 100% of water samples passed the bacteriological contamination test but to assure the protection against bacteriological contamination, addition of RC is must in PWS system.

The Residual Chlorine in piped water supply is one of the most important preventive actions to assure quality of water against bacteriological contamination from source to consumption. The presence of residual chlorine within permissible limits is indicator of well-maintained and healthy piped water supply system.

It is advised that behavioural change communication campaigns on appropriate dosage of residual chlorine is held in all villages and monitoring system for chlorine dosing is established. The FTK must have residual chlorine testing facility for effective WQM&S.

Comment on functioning of District Lab:

The district lab tested water samples for 5 water quality parameters. 953 water samples were submitted, and 412 water samples were tested, and reports made available. The turnaround time for testing was more than 48 hours in most cases.

The labs did not have any issue with testing the number of water samples submitted nor had any issues with human resources, reagents, etc. However, the only concern was the lab did not accept any samples during weekends and public holidays.



3.3. Average water supply days in a week





3.4. Household utilization of water for drinking and other activities

Fulfilment of requirement 64% HHs reported that their daily requirement

of water is being met by FHTCs

Figure 17: Daily household's requirement of water being met by FHTC



3.5. Status at HH level (Nh=906)

Primary source of drinking water 72% HHs reported HH tap connection as their primary source of drinking water

Figure 18: Households reported FHTC as primary source of drinking water





*HHs who reported complaints in last 1 year



3.6. Source sustainability at the village level

Schemes based on surface and ground water

12% of schemes are reported to be based on surface water and 5% ground water.



Figure 19: Schemes based on water source in village

*'Surface Water Source' is Stream, Spring, Glacier, River, lake, pond etc. and Groundwater Source is open well, borewell, tube well, handpump, spring, etc

Villages reported having presence of a groundwater source

14% of villages reported the presence of groundwater sources like improved dug wells and borewells, and none of them were supported by recharging structures.





The top 3 other source sustainability measure taken by villages

Figure 21: Villages reported having taken other source sustainability measure



% of villages



3.7. Water quality monitoring and surveillance in the villages

Figure 22: Water quality monitoring and surveillance by villages



3.8. Status of JJM

A. VWSC/Pani Samiti and PWS signage in villages (Nv=35)

Presence of VWSC/Pani Samiti	VWSC/Pani Samiti responsible for O&M of PWS Schemes	% Villages – VWSC/PO trained to use FTKs	% Villages in which signages about JJM was observed
3%	0%	3%	3%

B. Water supply, storage and operation & maintenance at village level (N_v=35)

Average no. of supply in a day	% Villages levying water service delivery to HH	% Villages having skilled manpower for O&M for	Community monitoring of water wastage in villages
2	0%	6%	3%
% Villages having OHT/ Sump	% Villages having faced O&M challenges	Primary points for reporting grievances	Key problems for reporting grievances
29%	11%	Block functionary	Pipeline leakage



3.9. Perception of HHs on Outcome Indicators

a. Health

Incidence of water borne diseases at HH level in last one year as reported

Figure 23: Household reported incidence of water borne diseases in last one year



b. Economic Income Change in employment days since FHTC programmes/schemes

Figure 24: Household reported a change in employment days since FHTC programmes /schemes



c. Drudgery Reduction in time and effort in collecting water







3.10. User satisfaction

Table No. 5: User satisfaction - more than 75% happy with FHTC services					
S. No.	Parameter (N _h =906) In %				
1	Regularity	$\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$	79		
2	Overall quality		72		
3	Colour		75		
4	Taste	(e)	76		
5	Odour	$\bigcirc \bigcirc$	75		

Note:

Base (N_v) =35 means all villages sampled and covered in Dhalai district

Base (N_H)=906 means all households sampled and covered across the 35 villages in Dhalai district Base (N_H)=906 means all households where female members used to fetch water before HH tap connection



4. Annexures

4.1. Summary of villages

Table No. 6: Village summary						
S.No.	Name of sample village	Sample HHs	Actual sample HHs (achieved)	No. of scheme	No of source of surface water	No of source of Ground water
1	Total	900	941	39	25	9
2	Nanda Kishore Para	27	28	3	1	
3	Durai Shib Bari	27	28	2		
4	Kuchai Nala	27	28	1	4	
5	Mahabir Basti	27	29	1		
6	Jayanti Bazar	27	29	1		
7	Upendra Roaja Para	27	28	1		
8	Halam Para	27	28	1	5	5
9	Madhya Mendi	27	28	1		
10	Khupshtier Para	27	28	1	4	
11	Madhya Lemboo Cherra	27	29	1		
12	Sunaram Kobra Para	27	26	1		
13	Bharat Chandra Para	9	10	1		
14	Dhansing Para	18	19	1		
15	Kamalacherra	27	28	1	2	
16	Hridoy Debbarma Para	27	28	1		
17	Durga Charra	27	28	1		1
18	Nija Ch. Kr. Para	27	28	1	1	
19	Bazar Colony	27	28	1		1
20	Saityaban K/Para	27	28	1		
21	Kanchan Chera Proper	27	28	1		
22	Manyakumar Para	27	28	1		1
23	Manu Proper	27	28	1	1	
24	82 Miles Proper	27	28	1		
25	Nepal Tilla Proper	27	28	1	1	1
26	60 Card	27	28	1		
27	Debnath Para	27	28	1		
28	Ramlusan Karbari Para	27	28	1		
29	Bhagirath Para	27	28	1		
30	Puspadhan Para	27	28	1		
31	Ranjit Para	27	28	1		
32	Ramnagar Bazar	27	28	1		
33	Basudeb Para (East Part)	27	31	2	5	
34	North Halahali	9	10	1		
35	Chandmari Para	27	28	1	1	
36	St Coloney Para	27	30	1		



4.2. Functionality – 55 LPCD vs regularity vs potability vs working tap connection

Table	Table No. 7: Functionality of HH tap connection					
S. No.	Village	Fully Functional* (% HH)	Adequate Quantity (% HH)	Fully Regular Supply (% HH)	Potable (Quality) (% HH)	Working tap connections (%HH)
1	Total	46.7	96.0	84.4	57.2	100.0
2	Nanda Kishore Para	37.0	96.3	40.7	88.9	100.0
3	Durai Shib Bari	66.7	100.0	70.4	96.3	100.0
4	Kuchai Nala	63.0	100.0	70.4	77.8	100.0
5	Mahabir Basti	96.4	96.4	100.0	100.0	100.0
6	Jayanti Bazar	3.6	96.4	96.4	3.6	100.0
7	Upendra Roaja Para	18.5	96.3	100.0	18.5	100.0
8	Halam Para	66.7	100.0	74.1	92.6	100.0
9	Madhya Mendi	3.7	92.6	96.3	7.4	100.0
10	Khupshtier Para	48.1	100.0	70.4	77.8	100.0
11	Madhya Lemboo Cherra	92.9	100.0	100.0	92.9	100.0
12	Sunaram Kobra Para	28.0	100.0	84.0	36.0	100.0
13	Bharat Chandra Para	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
14	Dhansing Para	0.0	55.6	0.0	83.3	100.0
15	Kamalacherra	85.2	92.6	100.0	88.9	100.0
16	Hridoy Debbarma Para	7.4	96.3	96.3	7.4	100.0
17	Durga Charra	0.0	88.9	96.3	0.0	100.0
18	Nija Ch. Kr. Para	74.1	96.3	85.2	92.6	100.0
19	Bazar Colony	96.3	100.0	100.0	96.3	100.0
20	Saityaban K/Para	3.7	96.3	100.0	3.7	100.0
21	Kanchan Chera Proper	7.4	100.0	70.4	14.8	100.0
22	Manyakumar Para	0.0	88.9	74.1	0.0	100.0
23	Manu Proper	48.1	100.0	48.1	96.3	100.0
24	82 Miles Proper	0.0	96.3	51.9	0.0	100.0
25	Nepal Tilla Proper	0.0	92.6	81.5	11.1	100.0
26	60 Card	0.0	96.3	96.3	0.0	100.0
27	Debnath Para	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
28	Ramlusan Karbari Para	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
29	Bhagirath Para	92.6	92.6	100.0	100.0	100.0
30	Puspadhan Para	92.6	92.6	100.0	100.0	100.0
31	Ranjit Para	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
32	Ramnagar Bazar	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
33	Basudeb Para (East Part)	3.3	93.3	96.7	3.3	100.0
34	North Halahali	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
35	Chandmari Para	51.9	96.3	70.4	77.8	100.0
36	St Coloney Para	6.9	100.0	75.9	6.9	100.0

* Fully Functional has been computed as = Adequate Quantity \cap Fully Regularity Supply \cap Potable (Quality)



4.3. Villages not meeting the quality parameters

Table I	Table No. 8: Quality parameters dissatisfied at village level					
1. pH	I (Acceptable Range	- 6.5 to 8.5)	Γ	n		
S.No.	Block Name	Panchayat Name	Villages	No. of HHs outside the a range	acceptable	
1	Ambassa	Basudeb Para	Basudeb Para (East Part)		24	
2		Kamalacherra	Kamalacherra		3	
3		Lalchhari	Hridoy Debbarma Para		19	
4		Purba Nalicherra	Sunaram Kobra Para		16	
5	Chawmanu	Durgacherra	Durga Charra		27	
6		Manikpur	Nija Ch. Kr. Para		2	
7		Paschim Chawmanu	Bazar Colony		1	
8		Purba	Saityaban K/Para		26	
9	Durgachowmuhani	Bamancherra	Nanda Kishore Para		3	
10	Durgaonowinanani	Duraishib Bari	Durai Shib Bari		1	
11		Kuchainala	Kuchai Nala		6	
12		West	Madhya Lemboo		2	
10		Lamboocherra	Cherra			
13	Manu	East Manu	Chandmari Para		6	
14		Kanchancherra	Ranchan Chera Proper		23	
15		Manu	Manu Proper		1	
16		Nalkata	82 Miles Proper		27	
17		Purba Kathalcherra	Nepal Tilla Proper		1	
18		South Chailengta	St Coloney Para		16	
19	Salema	Asapurna Roaja Para	Upendra Roaja Para		22	
20		Jamthum	Halam Para		2	
21		Mendhi	Madhya Mendi		25	
22		Paschim Dalucherra	Jayanti Bazar		15	
23		Simbuckchak	Khupshtier Para		6	
2. Fre	ee residual chlorine	(Acceptable Ran	ge- 0.2 to 1 PPM)		-	
S.No.	Block Name	Panchayat Name	Villages	HHs outside the acceptable/permissible	HHs with no	
	Ambassa	Basudeb Para	Basudeb Para (East		2	
1	Ambassa		Part)	1	2	
2		Gurudhan Para	Dhansing Para	18	0	
3		Lalchhari	Hridoy Debbarma Para	21	0	
4	Chawmanu	Durgacherra	Durga Charra	10	0	
5		Manikpur	Nija Ch. Kr. Para	14	0	
6		Paschim Chawmanu	Bazar Colony	11	0	
7	Dumburnagar	Gandacherra	60 Card	9	0	
8	Ŭ	Pancharatan	Ramlusan Karbari Para	7	0	
9		Sarma	Debnath Para	22	0	
10	Durgachowmuhani	Bamancherra	Nanda Kishore Para	4	0	
11		Duraishib Bari	Durai Shib Bari	26	0	
12		Kuchainala	Kuchai Nala	10	0	
13		Mahabir	Mahabir Basti	22	0	
14		Uttar Halahali	North Halahali	9	0	
15		West	Madhya Lemboo	7	0	



Table I	No. 8: Quality pa	rameters dissati	sfied at village level	
16	Ganganagar	Tetaiya	Bharat Chandra Para	3 0
17	Manu	East Manu	Chandmari Para	16 0
18		Kanchancherra	Kanchan Chera Proper	27 0
19		Longtharai R.F.	Manyakumar Para	11 0
20		Manu	Manu Proper	8 0
21		Nalkata	82 Miles Proper	27 0
22		Purba Kathalcherra	Nepal Tilla Proper	9 0
23		South Chailengta	St Coloney Para	27 0
24	Raishyabari	Bawalkhali	Puspadhan Para	9 0
25		Raima	Ranjit Para	8 0
26	Salema	Asapurna Roaja Para	Upendra Roaja Para	27 0
27		Jamthum	Halam Para	11 0
28		Mendhi	Madhya Mendi	16 0
29		Paschim Dalucherra	Jayanti Bazar	19 0
30		Simbuckchak	Khupshtier Para	15 0
3. Tu	rbidity (Acceptable	Range- 1 to 5 NTU	J)	1
S.No.	Block Name	Panchayat Name	Villages	HHs outside the acceptable/permissible range
1	Ambassa	Basudeb Para	Basudeb Para (East Part)	22
2		Gurudhan Para	Dhansing Para	2
3		Kamalacherra	Kamalacherra	1
		Lalchhari	Hridoy Debbarma	15
4			Para	
5	Dumburnagar	Gandacherra	60 Card	18
6	Manu	Longtharai R.F.	Manyakumar Para	27
7		Purba Kathalcherra	Nepal Tilla Proper	24
8		South Chailengta	St Coloney Para	17
9	Salema	Paschim Dalucherra	Jayanti Bazar	27
4. To	tal hardness (Accep	table Range- 200	to 600 Milligram/litre)	
S.No.	Block Name	Panchayat Name	Villages	HHs outside the acceptable/permissible range
1	Dumburnagar	Gandacherra	60 Card	1
5. To	tal alkalinity (Accep	table Range- 200	to 600 Milligram/litre)	
S.No.	Block Name	Panchayat Name	Villages	HHs outside the acceptable/permissible range
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6. Ch	loride (Acceptable F	Range- 250 to 100	0 Milligram/litre)	
S.No.	Block Name	Panchayat Name	Villages	HHs outside the acceptable/permissible range
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
7. An	nmonia (Acceptable	Range- 0.5 Millig	ram/litre)	
S.No.	Block Name	Panchayat Name	Villages	HHs outside the acceptable/permissible range
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
8. Iro	n (Acceptable Rang	e-1 Milligram/litr	e)	1
S.No.	Block Name	Panchayat Name	Villages	HHs outside the acceptable/permissible range
1	Ambassa	Basudeb Para	Basudeb Para (East Part)	5
2		Gurudhan Para	Dhansing Para	3
3	Dumburnagar	Gandacherra	60 Card	26



Table	Table No. 8: Quality parameters dissatisfied at village level					
	Manu	Longtharai	Manyakumar Para		27	
4		R.F.				
		South	St Coloney Para		24	
5		Chailengta				
	Salema	Paschim	Jayanti Bazar		23	
6		Dalucherra				
9. Nitr	ate (Acceptable Ran	ge- 1 Milligram/li	tre)			
S No	Block Name	Panchayat	Villages	HHs outside the		
0.110.	DIOCK Maille	Name	Villages	acceptable/permissible range		
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
10. Su	Iphate (Acceptable I	Range- 200 to 400	<u>) Milligram/litre)</u>			
S No	Block Name	Panchayat	Villages	HHs outside the		
5.140.	DIOCK Name	Name	Villages	acceptable/permissible range		
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
11. To	otal dissolved solids	(Acceptable Rang	ge- 500 to 2000 Milligra	m/litre)		
S No	Block Name	Panchayat Name	Villages	HHs outside the		
5.NO.	DIOCK Maille		Vinages	acceptable/permissible range		
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
12. Ba	acteriological test (P	resence)				
S No	Block Name	Panchayat	Villages	HHs outside the		
5.140.	DIOCK Maille	Name	Villages	acceptable/permissible range		
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
13. Flu	uoride (Acceptable R	ange- 1 to 1.5 Mi	lligram /litre)			
S No	Block Namo	Panchayat	Villagos	HHs outside the		
3.NO.	DIUCK Maille	Name	Villages	acceptable/permissible range		
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
14. Ar	senic (in hotspots) (Acceptable Rang	e- 0.01 Milligram /litre)			
S No	Block Namo	Panchayat	Villagos	HHs outside the		
3.NO.	BIOCK NAME	Name	villages	acceptable/permissible range		
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		

